

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/765,969	01/29/2004	Masaki Takabayashi	TAK-168-USAP	2344	
28892	7590 08/24/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SNIDER & ASSOCIATES P. O. BOX 27613			SANDY, RO	SANDY, ROBERT JOHN	
WASHINGTON, DC 20038-7613			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3677		
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/765,969	TAKABAYASHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert J. Sandy	3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	May 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	*					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-12 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 7 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the leading of the leading of the drawing of the dra	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/765,969 Page 2

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

THIS ACTION IS RESPONSIVE TO THE AMENDMENT FILED 23 May 2005.

• Claims 1-12 were amended.

• Claims 1-12 are pending.

Response to Arguments/Amendment

Applicant's reply to the prior Office action (mailed 29 March 2005) filed 9 February 2004 has overcome the objections to the specification and the claims indicated in the prior Office action. This objection has been withdrawn.

Applicant's amendment to claims 9 and 10 has overcome the rejection on the basis of Double Patenting indicated in the prior Office action. This rejection has been withdrawn.

Applicant's amendments to claims 1-6 and 8-12 to further define the claimed subject matter, and supporting reasons applicant has provided in the filed response where threquirement "that the ditch of the cover is inserted in to the clip" thereby claiming the combination of the cover and the clip, has overcome the claim rejections to claims 1-6 and 8-12 under 35 U.S.C. §102 indicated in the prior Office action.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-6 and 8-12 are allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Razza (U. S. Patent No. 5,044,175). Razza ('175) discloses a decorative cover (20) for a clip (16) which comprises:

Art Unit: 3677

a cover (20) and a thin plate wall (38) formed in an inner surface of the cover body and running sideways along the cover body; wherein a fitting ditch (formed between plate 20 and 26) is formed on the thin plate wall between the wall and the inner surface of the cover body; and wherein said fitting ditch of said cover is inserted (as shown in Figs. 2 and 4-6) into said clip (16), thereby attaching the cover to the clip body extending to an end of the decorative cover:

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. Sandy whose telephone number is 571-272-7073. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J.J. Swann can be reached on 571-272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROBERT J. SANDY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Robert J. Sandy Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677